



1. Define  $f_i$  such that  $\epsilon \circ f_i = f$ . This is possible by projectivity of  $P_i$ .
2. Define  $f_{i+1}$  such that  $\partial_1 \circ f_{i+1} = f_i \circ \partial_{i+1}$ . This is possible by projectivity of  $P_{i+1}$  since

$$\text{im}(f_i \circ \partial_{i+1}) \leq \text{im}(\partial_1) = \ker(\epsilon)$$

$$\text{as } \epsilon \circ (f_i \circ \partial_{i+1}) = f \circ \partial_{i+1} = 0.$$

3. Define  $f_{i+2}$  such that  $\partial_2 \circ f_{i+2} = f_{i+1} \circ \partial_{i+2}$ . This is possible by projectivity of  $P_{i+2}$  since

$$\text{im}(f_{i+1} \circ \partial_{i+2}) \leq \text{im}(\partial_2) = \ker(\partial_1)$$

$$\text{as } \partial_1 \circ (f_{i+1} \circ \partial_{i+2}) = f_i \circ \partial_{i+1} \circ \partial_{i+2} = 0.$$

4. Define  $f_m$  for  $m > i + 2$  by recursion such that  $\partial_{m-i} \circ f_m = f_{m-1} \circ \partial_m$ . This is possible by projectivity of  $P_m$  since

$$\text{im}(f_{m-1} \circ \partial_m) \leq \text{im}(\partial_{m-i}) = \ker(\partial_{m-i-1})$$

$$\text{as } \partial_{m-i-1} \circ (f_{m-1} \circ \partial_m) = f_{m-2} \circ \partial_{m-1} \circ \partial_m = 0.$$

Then the product  $fg$  is calculated as  $g \circ f_{i+j}$ . The process above is used to compute the multiplication table used by the `CohomologyRing` command and is used to find generators by the `CohomologyGenerators` command.

## 2 The Yoneda Cocomplex

My understanding of the purpose of the Yoneda Cocomplex is the following. The definition of the Massey product below requires a cocomplex having an associative product. The product defined above, however, is defined only for  $f$  and  $g$  cocycles in  $\text{Hom}(P_*, k)$ . The Yoneda cocomplex  $Y$ , on the other hand, has the same cohomology as  $\text{Hom}(P_*, k)$ , but has an associative product defined for all cochains, namely composition. Moreover, we will show that via the isomorphism  $\Phi : H^*(G, k) \rightarrow H^*(Y)$ , composition in  $Y$  agrees with the product defined in Section 1 up to the factor  $(-1)^{\deg f \deg g}$ , that is,

$$\Phi(fg) = (-1)^{\deg f \deg g} \Phi(g) \circ \Phi(f).$$

The following construction comes from [1].

**Definition 1.** For  $i \geq 0$ , define

$$Y^i = \prod_{m \geq i} \text{Hom}_{kG}(P_m, P_{m-i}).$$

Then an element  $f \in Y^i$  is a collection of  $kG$ -homomorphisms  $\{f_m : P_m \rightarrow P_{m-i} : m \geq i\}$  as in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
P_n & \xrightarrow{\partial_n} & P_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_m & \xrightarrow{\partial_m} & P_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} & P_{m-2} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} & P_i \\
\downarrow f_n & & \downarrow f_{n-1} & & & & \downarrow f_m & & \downarrow f_{m-1} & & \downarrow f_{m-2} & & & & \downarrow f_{i+1} & & \downarrow f_i \\
P_{n-i} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-i}} & P_{n-i-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{m-i} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-i}} & P_{m-i-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-i-1}} & P_{m-i-2} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & P_0
\end{array} \tag{3}$$

Diagram (3) is not required to commute.

**Definition 2.** Define  $Y = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} Y^i$ .  $Y$  is called the Yoneda cocomplex of  $P_*$ . We write  $\deg(f) = i$  for  $f \in Y^i$ . Let  $f = \{f_m : m \geq i\} \in Y^i$  and define

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial : Y^i &\rightarrow Y^{i+1} \\
f &\mapsto \left\{ f_{m-1} \circ \partial_m - (-1)^i \partial_{m-i} \circ f_m : m \geq 1 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that cocycles in  $Y$  are those elements  $f$  for which (3) commutes if  $\deg f$  is even and anticommutes if  $\deg f$  is odd.

**Lemma 3.**  $Y$  with differentiation  $\partial$  is a cocomplex, that is,  $\partial^2 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in Y^i$ . We will show that  $\partial^2 f = 0$  at the point  $P_m$  in (3) for  $m \geq i + 2 = \deg(\partial^2 f)$ . Follow along in the picture.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial(\partial f))_m &= (\partial f)_{m-1} \circ \partial_m - (-1)^{i+1} \partial_{m-i-1} \circ (\partial f)_m \\
&= \left( f_{m-2} \circ \partial_{m-1} - (-1)^i \partial_{m-i-1} \circ f_{m-1} \right) \circ \partial_m \\
&\quad - (-1)^{i+1} \partial_{m-i-1} \circ \left( f_{m-1} \circ \partial_m - (-1)^i \partial_{m-i} \circ f_m \right) \\
&= f_{m-2} \circ \partial_{m-1} \circ \partial_m - \partial_{m-i-1} \circ \partial_{m-i} \circ f_m \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

■

**Theorem 4.** The cohomology groups of  $Y$  are  $H^*(G, k)$ .

*Proof.* We will define a group isomorphism  $\Phi : H^i(G, k) \rightarrow H^i(Y)$ .

1. Let  $f : P_i \rightarrow k$  be a cocycle in  $\text{Hom}_{kG}^i(P_*, k)$ , that is, assume  $f \circ \partial_{i+1} = 0$ . Define  $\Phi(f) = \{f_m : m \geq i\} \in Y^i$  as follows. The element  $\Phi(f)$ , together with  $f$ , is pictured in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
P_m & \xrightarrow{\partial_m} & P_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} & P_{m-2} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{i+2} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+2}} & P_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} & P_i & \xrightarrow{f} & k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow f_m & & \downarrow f_{m-1} & & \downarrow f_{m-2} & & & & \downarrow f_{i+2} & & \downarrow f_{i+1} & & \downarrow f_i & & & & \\
P_{m-i} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-i}} & P_{m-i-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-i-1}} & P_{m-i-2} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & P_0 & \xrightarrow{e} & k & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array} \tag{4}$$

- (a) Define  $f_i$  such that  $\epsilon \circ f_i = f$ . This is possible by projectivity of  $P_i$ .  
(b) Define  $f_{i+1}$  such that  $\partial_1 \circ f_{i+1} = (-1)^i f_i \circ \partial_{i+1}$ . This is possible by projectivity of  $P_{i+1}$  since

$$\text{im} \left( (-1)^i f_i \circ \partial_{i+1} \right) \leq \text{im} (\partial_1) = \ker (\epsilon)$$

$$\text{as } \epsilon \circ \left( (-1)^i f_i \circ \partial_{i+1} \right) = (-1)^i f \circ \partial_{i+1} = 0.$$

- (c) Define  $f_{i+2}$  such that  $\partial_2 \circ f_{i+2} = (-1)^i f_{i+1} \circ \partial_{i+2}$ . This is possible by projectivity of  $P_{i+2}$  since

$$\text{im} \left( (-1)^i f_{i+1} \circ \partial_{i+2} \right) \leq \text{im} (\partial_2) = \ker (\partial_1)$$

$$\text{as } \partial_1 \circ \left( (-1)^i f_{i+1} \circ \partial_{i+2} \right) = f_i \circ \partial_{i+1} \circ \partial_{i+2} = 0.$$

- (d) Define  $f_m$  for  $m > i + 2$  by recursion such that  $\partial_{m-i} \circ f_m = (-1)^i f_{m-1} \circ \partial_m$ . This is possible by projectivity of  $P_m$  since

$$\text{im} \left( (-1)^i f_{m-1} \circ \partial_m \right) \leq \text{im} (\partial_{m-i}) = \ker (\partial_{m-i-1})$$

$$\text{as } \partial_{m-i-1} \circ \left( (-1)^i f_{m-1} \circ \partial_m \right) = f_{m-2} \circ \partial_{m-1} \circ \partial_m = 0.$$

This completes the definition of  $\Phi$ . The maps  $\{f_m : m \geq i\}$  defined in Steps 1b-1d above satisfy

$$\partial_{m-i} \circ f_m = (-1)^i f_{m-1} \partial_m.$$

In other words,  $(\partial \Phi (f))_{m+1} = 0$  for all  $m \geq i + 1$  so that  $\partial \Phi (f) = 0$ . Thus,  $\Phi (f)$  is a cocycle by construction.

2. We claim than any other choice of maps  $\{f'_m : m \geq i\}$  satisfying the conditions in 1a-1d above will be equivalent to  $\{f_m : m \geq i\}$  in  $H^i (Y)$ . More precisely, if  $f$  and  $f'$  both satisfy conditions 1a-1d, then will define a map  $\theta \in Y^{i-1}$  such that  $\partial \theta = f - f'$ . Write  $g_m = f_m - f'_m$  for  $m \geq i$ .

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccc}
P_m & \xrightarrow{\partial_m} & P_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} & P_{m-2} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-2}} & P_{m-3} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{i+2} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+2}} & P_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} & P_i & \xrightarrow{\partial_i} & P_{i-1} \\
g_m \downarrow & \swarrow \theta_{m-1} & \downarrow g_{m-1} & \swarrow \theta_{m-2} & \downarrow g_{m-2} & \swarrow \theta_{m-3} & \downarrow g_{m-3} & & & & g_{i+2} \downarrow & \swarrow \theta_{i+1} & \downarrow g_{i+1} & \swarrow \theta_i & \downarrow g_i & \swarrow \theta_{i-1} & \\
P_{m-i} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-i}} & P_{m-i-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-i-1}} & P_{m-i-2} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-i-2}} & P_{m-i-3} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & P_0 & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & k
\end{array}
\tag{5}$$

- (e) Take  $\theta_{i-1} = 0$ .  
(f) Since  $\epsilon \circ f_i = \epsilon \circ f'_i = f$ , we have  $\text{im} (g_i) \leq \ker (\epsilon) = \text{im} (\partial_1)$ . Define  $\theta_i$  such that  $\partial_1 \circ \theta_i = (-1)^i g_i$ . This is possible by projectivity of  $P_i$ . We rewrite the condition on  $\theta_i$  for future reference as follows.

$$(\partial \theta)_i = 0 - (-1)^{i-1} \partial_1 \circ \theta_i = g_i \tag{6}$$

(g) By (2f), we have

$$\partial_1 \circ \theta_i \circ \partial_{i+1} = (-1)^i g_i \circ \partial_{i+1} = \partial_1 \circ g_{i+1}$$

so that

$$\text{im}(g_{i+1} - \theta_i \circ \partial_{i+1}) \leq \ker(\partial_1) = \text{im}(\partial_2).$$

Define  $\theta_{i+1}$  such that

$$\partial_2 \circ \theta_{i+1} = (-1)^i (g_{i+1} - \theta_i \circ \partial_{i+1}),$$

and again, for future reference, we rewrite this as follows.

$$(\partial\theta)_{i+1} = \theta_i \circ \partial_{i+1} - (-1)^{i-1} \partial_2 \circ \theta_{i+1} = g_{i+1} \quad (7)$$

(h) Assume by recursion that we have computed  $\theta_{m-2}$  and  $\theta_{m-3}$  such that

$$\partial_{m-i-1} \circ \theta_{m-2} = (-1)^i (g_{m-2} - \theta_{m-3} \circ \partial_{m-2}).$$

Then  $\partial_{m-i-1} \circ \theta_{m-2} \circ \partial_{m-1} = (-1)^i g_{m-2} \circ \partial_{m-1} = \partial_{m-i-1} \circ g_{m-1}$  so that

$$\text{im}(g_{m-1} - \theta_{m-2} \circ \partial_{m-1}) \leq \ker(\partial_{m-i-1}) = \text{im}(\partial_{m-i}).$$

Define  $\theta_{m-1}$  such that

$$\partial_{m-i} \circ \theta_{m-1} = (-1)^i (g_{m-1} - \theta_{m-2} \circ \partial_{m-1}),$$

and again, for future reference, we rewrite this as follows.

$$(\partial\theta)_{m-1} = \theta_{m-2} \circ \partial_{m-1} - (-1)^{i-1} \partial_{m-i} \circ \theta_{m-1} = g_{m-1} \quad (8)$$

This completes the definition of  $\theta$ . Then  $\theta$  satisfies  $\partial\theta = f - f'$  by (6), (7), and (8).

3. Suppose now that  $f = \partial g$  for some cochain  $g : P_{i-1} \rightarrow k$ . Write  $\Phi(g \circ \partial_i) = \{g_m : m \geq i\}$ . We will construct  $\theta$  such that  $\Phi(\partial g) = \partial\theta$  for some  $\theta \in Y^{i-1}$  as in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc}
P_{m+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m+1}} & P_m & \xrightarrow{\partial_m} & P_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} & P_{m-2} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} & P_i & \xrightarrow{\partial_i} & P_{i-1} \\
\downarrow g_{m+1} & \swarrow \theta_m & \downarrow g_m & \swarrow \theta_{m-1} & \downarrow g_{m-1} & \swarrow \theta_{m-2} & \downarrow g_{m-2} & & & & \downarrow g_{i+1} & \swarrow \theta_i & \downarrow g_i & \swarrow \theta_{i-1} & \downarrow g \\
P_{m-i+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-i+1}} & P_{m-i} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-i}} & P_{m-i-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-i-1}} & P_{m-i-2} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & P_0 & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & k
\end{array}$$

(i) Define  $\theta_{i-1}$  such that  $\epsilon \circ \theta_{i-1} = g$ . This is possible by projectivity of  $P_{i-1}$ .

(j) Since  $\epsilon \circ \theta_{i-1} \circ \partial_i = g \circ \partial_i = \epsilon \circ g_i$ , we have that

$$\text{im}(g_i - \theta_{i-1} \circ \partial_i) \leq \ker(\epsilon) = \text{im}(\partial_1).$$

Thus, by projectivity of  $P_i$ , we have  $\theta_i$  such that

$$\partial_1 \circ \theta_i = (-1)^i (g_i - \theta_{i-1} \circ \partial_i).$$

Then

$$(\partial\theta)_i = \theta_{i-1} \circ \partial_i - (-1)^{i-1} \partial_1 \circ \theta_i = g_i.$$

(k) Assume by recursion that we have computed the maps  $\theta_{m-1}$  and  $\theta_{m-2}$  such that

$$\theta_{m-2} \circ \partial_{m-1} - (-1)^{i-1} \partial_{m-i} \circ \theta_{m-1} = g_{m-1}.$$

Then

$$\partial_{m-i} \circ g_m = (-1)^i g_{m-1} \circ \partial_m = \partial_{m-i} \circ \theta_{m-1} \circ \partial_m$$

so that

$$\text{im}(g_m - \theta_{m-1} \circ \partial_m) \leq \ker(\partial_{m-i}) = \text{im}(\partial_{m-i+1}).$$

Define  $\theta_m$  such that

$$\partial_{m-i-1} \circ \theta_m = (-1)^i (g_m - \theta_{m-1} \circ \partial_m).$$

Then

$$(\partial\theta)_m = \theta_{m-1} \circ \partial_m - (-1)^{i-1} \partial_{m-i+1} \circ \theta_m = g_m.$$

This completes the definition of  $\theta$ . Then  $g = \partial\theta$  by construction.

4. We will now show that  $\Phi$  is a  $k$ -module homomorphism. Let  $f, g : P_i \rightarrow k$  be cocycles and let  $\alpha, \beta \in k$ . Write  $h = \alpha f + \beta g$ . We want to show that  $\Phi(h) = \alpha\Phi(f) + \beta\Phi(g)$ . But  $\epsilon \circ h_0 = \epsilon \circ (\alpha f_0 + \beta g_0) = \alpha f + \beta g$ , so that we are in the situation of Step 2 above. Thus,  $\Phi(h)$  and  $\alpha\Phi(f) + \beta\Phi(g)$  are equivalent elements of  $Y$ .
5. By Steps 3 and 4, we have that if  $f$  and  $f'$  are equivalent in  $H^*(G, k)$ , then  $\Phi(f)$  and  $\Phi(f')$  are equivalent in  $H^*(Y)$ . This together with 2 shows that  $\Phi$  is a well-defined  $k$ -module homomorphism.
6. Finally,  $\Phi$  is a bijection, having inverse given by

$$\{f_m : m \geq i\} \mapsto \epsilon \circ f_i.$$

■

### 3 Products in $Y$

Consider the following product  $Y^i \otimes Y^j \rightarrow Y^{i+j}$  on  $Y$ . Let  $f \in Y^i$  and  $g \in Y^j$  and consider the composition of the individual component maps of  $f$  with those of  $g$  such that legitimate compositions are obtained, as in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
 P_n & \xrightarrow{\partial_n} & P_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_m & \xrightarrow{\partial_m} & P_{m-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{i+j+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+j+1}} & P_{i+j} \\
 \downarrow f_n & & \downarrow f_{n-1} & & & & \downarrow f_m & & \downarrow f_{m-1} & & & & \downarrow f_{i+j+1} & & \downarrow f_{i+j} \\
 P_{n-i} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-i}} & P_{n-i-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{m-i} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-i}} & P_{m-i-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{j+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{j+1}} & P_j \\
 \downarrow g_{n-i} & & \downarrow g_{n-i-1} & & & & \downarrow g_{m-i} & & \downarrow g_{m-i-1} & & & & \downarrow g_{j+1} & & \downarrow g_j \\
 P_{n-i-j} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-i-j}} & P_{n-i-j-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{m-i-j} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-i-j}} & P_{m-i-j-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & P_0
 \end{array} \tag{9}$$

Observe that we have thrown away the maps  $\{f_m : i \leq m \leq i+j-1\}$ . I suppose that the natural symbol for the object in (9) would be  $g \circ f$ , to emphasize the fact that we're talking about the component-wise composition of two elements of  $Y$  and *not* a cohomology product.

**Observation 5.**  $\partial (g \circ f) = g \circ \partial f + (-1)^{\deg f} \partial g \circ f$ .

*Proof.* Write  $i = \deg (f)$  and  $j = \deg (g)$  as in (9). We will show the claim at the point  $P_m$  in (9) for  $m \geq i+j+1 = \deg (\partial (g \circ f))$ . Follow along in the picture.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (g \circ \partial f + (-1)^i \partial g \circ f)_m &= g_{m-i-1} \circ (f_{m-1} \circ \partial_m - (-1)^i \partial_{m-i} \circ f_m) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^i (g_{m-i-1} \circ \partial_{m-i} - (-1)^j \partial_{m-i-j} \circ g_{m-i}) \circ f_m \\
 &= g_{m-i-1} \circ f_{m-1} \circ \partial_m - (-1)^{i+j} \partial_{m-i-j} \circ g_{m-i} \circ f_m \\
 &= (\partial (g \circ f))_m
 \end{aligned}$$

■

**Claim 6.** *Composition in  $Y$  induces via  $\Phi$  an associative binary operation*

$$H^i(G, k) \otimes H^j(G, k) \rightarrow H^{i+j}(G, k)$$

*making  $H^*(G, k)$  into a ring with 1.*

### 4 Relationships among products on $H^*(G, k)$

Let  $f \in H^i(G, k)$  and  $g \in H^j(G, k)$ . Consider the following products on  $H^*(G, k)$ .

1. The *cup product*  $fg$  defined in Section 1

2. The product induced from composition in  $Y$

$$(f, g) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (\Phi(f), \Phi(g)) \xrightarrow{\circ} \Phi(g) \circ \Phi(f) \xrightarrow{\Phi^{-1}} \epsilon \circ (\Phi(g) \circ \Phi(f))_{i+j}$$

3. The Massey 2-fold product  $\langle f, g \rangle$ , defined more generally in Section 5 below,

$$(f, g) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (\Phi(f), \Phi(g)) \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot \rangle} (-1)^i \Phi(g) \circ \Phi(f) \xrightarrow{\Phi^{-1}} (-1)^i \epsilon \circ (\Phi(g) \circ \Phi(f))_{i+j}$$

The cup product is calculated as  $g \circ f_{i+j}$ , where  $f_{i+j}$  is as in (2), whereas product 2 is calculated as  $g \circ f_{i+j}$ , where  $f_{i+j}$  is as in (4). Comparing (2) and (4), we see that the two  $f_i$ 's are the same, the  $f_{i+1}$ 's differ by  $(-1)^i$ , the  $f_{i+2}$ 's differ by  $(-1)^{2i}$ , and in general, the  $f_{i+m}$ 's differ by  $(-1)^{im}$ . Thus, products 1 and 2 differ by  $(-1)^{ij}$ , that is,

$$\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(g) \circ \Phi(f)) = (-1)^{ij} fg$$

so that

$$\Phi(fg) = (-1)^{ij} \Phi(g) \circ \Phi(f)$$

and therefore

$$\Phi(fg) = (-1)^{i(j+1)} \langle f, g \rangle.$$

We observe that product 1 is associative (see [2]), and that product 2 is also associative, consisting of composition of functions. The Massey product, however, is not associative in general.

## 5 Massey Products

The idea of the Massey product is to extend the cohomology product to an  $n$ -fold product for  $n \geq 2$ . The following definition is adapted from [3].

**Definition 7.** For  $k \geq 2$ , let  $f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \dots, f^{(k)}$  be cocycles in  $Y$ . The Massey  $k$ -fold product  $\langle f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \dots, f^{(k)} \rangle$  is defined provided that for each pair  $(i, j)$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  other than  $(1, k)$ , the lower-degree product  $\langle f^{(i)}, f^{(i+1)}, \dots, f^{(j)} \rangle$  is defined and vanishes as an element of  $H^*(Y)$ , that is, if for each qualifying  $(i, j)$ , there exists  $u^{i,j} \in Y$  such that  $\partial u^{i,j} = \langle f^{(i)}, f^{(i+1)}, \dots, f^{(j)} \rangle$ . In this situation, the value of  $\langle f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \dots, f^{(k)} \rangle$  is defined to be

$$\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} u^{t+1,k} \circ \overline{u^{1,t}}$$

where the symbols  $u^{1,1}$  and  $u^{k,k}$  are taken to be  $f^{(1)}$  and  $f^{(k)}$  respectively and  $\bar{u} = (-1)^{\deg(u)} u$ .

Observe that in the case  $k = 2$ , the condition on  $(i, j)$  is vacuously satisfied, so that  $\langle f, g \rangle = g \circ \bar{f}$ .

Traditionally, one organizes the information in Definition 7 in an array, such as the following,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{(1)} & u^{1,2} & u^{1,3} \\ & f^{(2)} & u^{2,3} & u^{2,4} \\ & & f^{(3)} & u^{3,4} \\ & & & f^{(4)} \end{array}$$

and traces the top row with one hand while tracing the rightmost column with the other hand as  $t$  runs from 1 to 3. In this case, we have

$$\langle f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, f^{(3)}, f^{(4)} \rangle = u^{2,4} \circ \overline{f^{(1)}} + u^{3,4} \circ \overline{u^{1,2}} + f^{(4)} \circ \overline{u^{1,3}}.$$

**Lemma 8.**  $\langle f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \dots, f^{(k)} \rangle$  is a cocycle in  $Y$ .

The reason for the sign appearing in Definition 7 becomes apparent is the following proof.

*Proof.* We begin by making a general observation about  $Y$ . Suppose  $f \in Y^i$  and that  $g = \partial\theta$  for some  $\theta \in Y^{j-1}$  as in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & P_{i+j+m+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+j+m+1}} & P_{i+j+m} \\ & & \downarrow f_{i+j+m+1} & & \downarrow f_{i+j+m} \\ & & P_{j+m+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{j+m+1}} & P_{j+m} \\ & \swarrow \theta_{j+m+1} & \downarrow g_{j+m+1} & \swarrow \theta_{j+m} & \downarrow g_{j+m} \\ P_{m+2} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m+2}} & P_{m+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{m+1}} & P_m \end{array}$$

Then by Observation 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g \circ f)_{i+j+m+1} &= g_{j+m+1} \circ f_{i+j+m+1} \\ &= \theta_{j+m} \circ \partial_{j+m+1} \circ f_{i+j+m+1} - (-1)^{j-1} \partial_{m+2} \circ \theta_{j+m+1} \circ f_{i+j+m+1} \\ &= \theta_{j+m} \circ \partial_{j+m+1} \circ f_{i+j+m+1} - (-1)^{j-1} \partial_{m+2} \circ \theta_{j+m+1} \circ f_{i+j+m+1} \\ &\quad - (-1)^i \theta_{j+m} \circ f_{i+j+m} \circ \partial_{i+j+m+1} + (-1)^i \theta_{j+m} \circ f_{i+j+m} \circ \partial_{i+j+m+1} \\ &= -(-1)^i (\theta \circ (\partial f))_{i+j+m+1} + (-1)^i \partial (\theta \circ f)_{i+j+m+1} \end{aligned}$$

so that as elements of  $H^*(Y)$ , we have

$$\partial\theta \circ f = -(-1)^i \theta \circ \partial f. \tag{10}$$

Now we compute the derivative of  $\langle f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \dots, f^{(k)} \rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \left( \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{(\deg u^{1,t})} u^{t+1,k} \circ u^{1,t} \right) &= \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \left( (-1)^{(\deg u^{1,t})} u^{t+1,k} \circ \partial u^{1,t} + \partial u^{t+1,k} \circ u^{1,t} \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} (-\partial u^{t+1,k} \circ u^{1,t} + \partial u^{t+1,k} \circ u^{1,t}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

■

**Observation 9.** The condition  $\partial u^{i,j} = \langle f^{(i)}, f^{(i+1)}, \dots, f^{(j)} \rangle$  forces

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(u^{i,j}) &= \sum_{t=i}^j \deg(f^{(t)}) + i - j \\ \text{and} \quad \deg \langle f^{(i)}, f^{(i+1)}, \dots, f^{(j)} \rangle &= \sum_{t=i}^j \deg(f^{(t)}) + i - j + 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Troubling Observation 10.**  $\langle f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \dots, f^{(k)} \rangle$  is not uniquely defined, unless for each  $(i, j)$  the condition  $\partial u^{i,j} = \langle f^{(i)}, f^{(i+1)}, \dots, f^{(j)} \rangle$  is satisfied by exactly one cochain  $u^{i,j}$ .

Suppose that we are given cocycles  $f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \dots, f^{(k)}$  and we want to compute the map  $u^{i,j}$  for some  $(i, j)$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  other than  $(1, k)$ . Assume that recursively, we have computed all of the maps in the following array.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f^{(i)} & u^{i,i+1} & \dots & u^{i,j-1} \\ & f^{(i+1)} & & u^{i+1,j-1} & u^{i+1,j} \\ & & & & \vdots \\ & & & f^{(j-1)} & u^{j-1,j} \\ & & & & f^{(j)} \end{array}$$

The map  $u^{i,j}$  will be such that

$$\partial u^{i,j} = \langle f^{(i)}, f^{(i+1)}, \dots, f^{(j)} \rangle = \sum_{t=i}^{j-1} u^{t+1,j} \circ \overline{u^{i,t}} \quad (11)$$

where  $u^{i,i} = f^{(i)}$  and  $u^{j,j} = f^{(j)}$ . Write  $g$  for the map on the right-hand side of (11). Write

$$d = \deg(g) = \sum_{t=i}^j \deg(f^{(t)}) + i - j + 1.$$



so that

$$\text{im} \left( g_{m-1} - u_{m-2}^{i,j} \circ \partial_{m-1} \right) \leq \ker \left( \partial_{m-d-1} \right) = \text{im} \left( \partial_{m-d} \right).$$

Thus, there exists  $u_{m-1}^{i,j}$  such that

$$\partial_{m-d} \circ u_{m-1}^{i,j} = (-1)^d \left( g_{m-1} - u_{m-2}^{i,j} \circ \partial_{m-1} \right).$$

Observe that this means

$$\left( \partial u^{i,j} \right)_{m-1} = u_{m-2}^{i,j} \circ \partial_{m-1} - (-1)^{d-1} \partial_{m-d} \circ u_{m-1}^{i,j} = g_{m-1}.$$

This completes the construction of  $u^{i,j}$ . By construction, we have  $\partial \left( u^{i,j} \right) = g$ .

Finally, observe that in the last step in the calculation of  $\langle f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \dots, f^{(k)} \rangle$ , which is actually the *first* step, as this is a recursive process, it is only necessary to calculate  $u^{1,k-1}$ , but none of the maps  $u^{1,m}$  for  $2 \leq m \leq k-2$ , and none of the maps  $u^{m,k}$  for  $2 \leq m \leq k-1$ . In effect, the sum

$$\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} u^{t+1,k} \circ \overline{u^{1,t}} = \sum_{t=1}^{k-2} u^{t+1,k} \circ \overline{u^{1,t}} + f^{(k)} \circ \overline{u^{1,k-1}}$$

appearing in Definition 7 is calculated as

$$\sum_{t=1}^{k-2} \boxed{u_{\deg u^{t+1,k}}^{t+1,k}} \circ \overline{u_{\deg u^{t+1,k} + \deg u^{1,t}}^{1,t}} + f_{\deg f^{(k)}}^{(k)} \circ \overline{u_{\deg f^{(k)} + \deg u^{1,k-1}}^{1,k-1}},$$

But  $u_{\deg u^{t+1,k}}^{t+1,k} = 0$  by construction (see Step 1 above), so the sum reduces to a single term. This is not the case with the intermediate maps  $u^{i,j}$  with  $j - i \leq k - 2$ .

## References

- [1] I. C. Borge. A cohomological approach to the classification of  $p$ -groups. <http://www.maths.abdn.ac.uk/~bensondj/html/archive/borge.html>, 2001.
- [2] J. F. Carlson, L. Townsley, L. Valeri-Elizondo, and M. Zhang. *Cohomology rings of finite groups*, volume 3 of *Algebras and Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [3] D. Kraines. Massey higher products. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124:431–449, 1966.